General

Submission history

Date/Time	Status	Submitted By
30 Jun 2021 01:53:41	RCPO approved the case	Linda Maguire
29 Jun 2021 14:51:27	RACP Chairperson forwarded to RCPO to approve/reject	Antonio Ortega
29 Jun 2021 14:50:07	RACP Secretary added recommendation - Chairperson to finalize recommendation	Antonio Ortega
28 Jun 2021 22:16:52	Requester provided clarifications - RACP to review	Magdala Dejoie
28 Jun 2021 21:17:44	RACP Chairperson/RACP Secretary required clarifications - Requester to respond	Antonio Ortega
28 Jun 2021 21:09:46	Requester provided clarifications - RACP to review	Magdala Dejoie
25 Jun 2021 23:44:04	RACP Chairperson/RACP Secretary required clarifications - Requester to respond	Antonio Ortega
21 Jun 2021 22:17:50	Requester provided clarifications - RACP to review	Magdala Dejoie
10 Jun 2021 23:24:06	RACP Chairperson/RACP Secretary required clarifications - Requester to respond	Antonio Ortega
10 Jun 2021 00:12:02	Requester provided clarifications - RACP to review	Magdala Dejoie
04 Jun 2021 23:58:11	RACP Chairperson/RACP Secretary required clarifications - Requester to respond	Antonio Ortega
02 Jun 2021 01:57:26	RACP Secretary assigned to a meeting	Carmen CANALES
01 Jun 2021 21:41:59	RR/Head of BU endorsed and submitted to RACP for review	UNDPHQ\fernando.hiraldo
01 Jun 2021 21:31:38	CAP Chairperson forwarded to RR/Head of BU for endorsement	Marie Antolaine Alcide
01 Jun 2021 18:46:58	Requester submitted to CAP Chairperson for comments	Magdala Dejoie
31 May 2021 20:25:09	Requester saved as draft	Magdala Dejoie

Status

Case ID 0000034886 Requester Magdala Dejoie	Case tag RACP-EXA-2021-HTI-RBLAC Alternate requester Alveric Arthur	Status RCPO approved the case Other staff members to be notified
Basic Information		
Committee selection RACP	Track selection Ex-Ante Review	Selection process Competitive
Type of review Committee	Fast Track Process? No	Region RBLAC
Requesting unit Haiti	Head of organizational unit UNDPHQ\fernando.hiraldo	
Funding Source/Project ID 30000 / 00105326 Projet Post Catastrophes	Funds available (in USD) 1,150,000	
Proposed Contract Information		
Short Description (Title) of Procurem Construction of 100 houses	ent Action	
Category of Procurement Works	Type of contract Works	Estimated amount of proposed contract (in USD) 1,150,000

Is this an LTA?

No

https://intranet.undp.org/acponline/SitePages/ACPExAnteCaseLevel3PrintView.aspx?cid=0000034886

Currency of Payment (if different from USD)

Gourde

Purpose of proposed contract or action (brief scope)

This contract pertains to engage 4 constructions firms for the construction of 100 houses in Jeremie, Haiti.

Project Information

Modality of project execution DIM

Name of Direct Beneficiary/End-user

Population of Jeremie

Requirement generated from an Approved Procurement Plan for the project?

No

Project background

 Le projet de relèvement post-catastrophe, un projet d'appui au Gouvernement dans le contexte post-Mathieu dont le financement est assuré par le gouvernement de la Corée du Sud et exécuté par le PNUD dans le département de la Grand'Anse entend contribuer au relèvement et au développement durable des communes dudit département qui ont subi des dégâts considérables lors du passage du cyclone Mathieu en octobre 2016. A travers cette intervention, l'une des priorités du projet est d'aider le gouvernement haïtien à relocaliser les déplacés internes.

Dans cette optique, le projet vise à appuyer la commune de Dame Marie en construisant 100 unités de logement, le lotissement du site de relocalisation des familles bénéficiaires et aménagement de la plage de la localité de Lahaie.

Other relevant Information (Optional)

UNDP has engaged GPM for the design documents which have been reviewed and validated by the project engineer

Procurement Process Information

Procurement Strategy

For this procurement action, UNDP Haiti will conduct an open competitive ITB process and the solicitation document will be structured in 4 lots .Each lot will have 2 neighborhoods varying between 10 and 15 houses per neighborhood

The Scope of Works and technical specifications are defined respectively in the design documents developped by GPM and validated by the project engineer.

Procurement method planned

ITB

Type of competition planned

National Competition

Will there be a Prequalification or short-listing conducted or a vendor roster used?

Advertisement Methods planned

- UNDP CO Website

- UNGM

- Print media Le Nouvelliste

Details of solicitation documents

Following the Ex-ante approval, CO Haiti will conduct an ITB exercise using an Open National Process to be managed through E-tendering platform in ATLAS. Evaluation criteria in respect of qualifications, technical compliance, technical and financial capabilities have been developed with the technical support of GPM.

The tender will be opened for 4-5 weeks. A mandatory site visit followed by a pre-bid conference will be held 2 weeks after the launch of the process. Depending on the number of submissions received as well as questions/clarifications from potential bidders, the deadline may be further extended. The project engineer will provide technical expertise to address the requests for clarifications

during the tendering period and also the evaluation phase. The evaluation will be based on mandatory pass/fail as per established criteria as defined in Section 4 of the tender document and detailed .

Timeline of the process

UNDP Haiti estimate that final version and Ex-Ante review will be finalized by june 20th. UNDP estimates that

the procurement process would last approximately 3 months and the construction period around 5 months construction activities will be conducted in parallel for 4 lots.

The following is a timetable for the responsible parties:

Action	Responsible	Estimated date
Finalize Supporting documents for the ITB	Project Engineer	Already received
Publish ITB	Procurement UNDP	Week 3 of June
Sites visit	Procurement & Project UNDP	Week 5 of June
Bidder's information session (to enable potential companies to raise questions/clarifications)	Procurement UNDP & Project UNDP	Week 5 of June
Deadline for Submission	Procurement UNDP	Week 4 of July
Evaluation, Analysis and Committee	UNDP Committee /RACP	Weeks 4 to 5 of July
Signature of contract	UNDP / Firm	Week 3 of August
Starting date of construction	UNDP/Firm	Week 5 of August
Estimated construction period per lot	Firm	5 months

Expertise available for the process

For the preparation documents and publication:

• Procurement Associate at UNDP Haiti with the support from the Procurement Analyst on detail.

• Guidance and comments from ACP through the Ex-Ante review.

b) For the Evaluation process:

Evaluation will be conducted by engineers:

□ 3 UNDP Engineers, including the Project Manager;

The above mentioned team will performed the following steps under the guidance of the Procurement Associate of UNDP who will

manage this case:

• Preliminary examination: Mainly the Procurement Analyst to perform this assessment against the formal criteria established in the ITB document;

• Qualification examination: Offers will be assessed against the qualification/disqualifying criteria as per section 4 of the ITB document;

• Technical Assessment: The team will perform the assessment of each bid against the technical requirements and criteria as defined in the ITB.

• Financial Assessment: Price of tehnically responsive bidders will be evaluated using the matrix price/BoQ to be filled out by Bidders;

Given the value and nature of this case, a background check will be performed prior to issue a final recommendation for review and approval.

Value for Money Analysis Measures Proposed

The process will be based on Open International Competition – For the last part of the process (request of financial offers) it is envisaged to obtain competitive prices in relation to the cost estimate in the confidential budget. Unit prices will be reviewed and assessed by a technical panel to ensure that quoted prices are

within the regular market prices/rates.

Quality Assurance and Contract Management Mechanism Proposed

It should be noted that quality assurance will be mainly ensured / monitored by two consultants recruited for the supervision of the works, a civil engineer and an agroforestry expert.

Given the current context of COVID19, and the security situation in Haiti

UNDP, through the project manager will carry out site visits to confirm and validate

The works.

UNDP will undoubtedly monitor and adopt appropriate security measures (use of UNHAS to get there

example) in collaboration with UNDSS before carrying out any site visit.

Project manager (civil engineer training) in place to follow the process.

Contract terms: the UNDP contract for civil works will be used for risk management and liability purposes - template to be shared during the tender process.

Risk Management Measures Proposed

Bid Security: Local firms always complain about this requirement arguing high bank fees to be paid. Given the urgency of this activity (Project timeline) and also its importance, UNDP can't afford the risk to select a bidder not honoring its bid. Therefore, a 2.5% bid security per lot will be required in line with the POPP. Performance Guarantee: A 10% Performance Security will be required. This provision is also included in the ITB document (Section 3).

Warranty period of works: The defauld notification period will 12 months upon acceptance of works. The Performance Security deduced by half upon the issuance of the Taking-Over Certificate will cover this period.

The updated detailed Matrix Risk Assessment of the Project is attached .

Any other information concerning this case

Following an National competitive process, UNDP signed in June 2019 a Professional Services Contract with GPM for the establishment of the design documents (technical specifications, drawings & plans, works estimate, bill of quantities and statement of works) for the construction of 100 houses.

UNDP has received the 1st draft of the design documents which have been reviewed internally by the Project Manager (Civil engineer).

	No.	Document Type	Document Title	Created By	Created Date
*	26	Solicitation Document (Non- Confidential)	ITB Track Changes	Magdala Dejoie	28 Jun 2021 22:16:13
*	25	Solicitation Document (Non- Confidential)	Last Version ITB	Magdala Dejoie	28 Jun 2021 21:09:34
?	24	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Terme de refence expert environnement	Magdala Dejoie	21 Jun 2021 22:17:37
*	23	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Termes de refernce ingenieur	Magdala Dejoie	21 Jun 2021 22:16:57
?	22	Solicitation Document (Non- Confidential)	Nouvelle version ITB	Magdala Dejoie	21 Jun 2021 22:16:09
*	21	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Land	Magdala Dejoie	21 Jun 2021 22:14:54
*	20	Project and Background Documents (Confidential)	Amedment December 2021	Magdala Dejoie	21 Jun 2021 15:33:54
8	19	Project and Background Documents (Confidential)	Original PRODOC	Magdala Dejoie	21 Jun 2021 15:33:23
8	18	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Cadrede devis	Magdala Dejoie	10 Jun 2021 00:11:50
2	17	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Cadre Bordereau des prix	Magdala Dejoie	10 Jun 2021 00:10:22
8	16	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Prompt 2	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 17:09:38
*	15	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Prompt 1	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 17:09:19
?	14	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Liste materiel et personnel	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 17:08:55
P	13	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	PLans	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 17:04:24
*	12	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Cahier des charges	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 17:03:26
*	11	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Cadre de Devis	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 17:02:47
	10	Other Documentation	BOQ	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 17:02:29

Attachments

P		(Confidential)			
	9	Other Documentation (Confidential)	Project estimate	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 17:02:08
P	8	Solicitation Document (Non- Confidential)	ITB DOcument	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 17:00:57
P	7	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Works Authorization Approval	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 16:59:01
P	6	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	works component approval	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 16:56:53
P	5	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Technical note for Lot	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 16:40:36
P	4	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Proc Process CHronogram	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 16:39:03
P	3	Other Documentation (Non- Confidential)	Project risk Matrix	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 16:30:44
P	2	Project and Background Documents (Confidential)	Amendement Prodoc	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 15:57:35
P	1	Project and Background Documents (Confidential)	Prodoc	Magdala Dejoie	01 Jun 2021 15:57:09

Comments/Endorsements Prior to Committee Review

CAP chairperson comments

RR/Head of BU comments

Committee Review Report

Type of review Committee Meeting No. 2021-0000013642 Purpose Construction of 100 houses

Case background

UNDP – Haiti submits an ex-ante review for the procurement of civil works to engage 4 constructions firms for the construction of 100 houses in Jeremie, Haiti, with a contract duration of 5 months, in the estimated amount of USD 1,150,000.

Scope definition (TOR/Specifications/Statement of works)

The Statement or Works, Specifications and Bills of Quantities are developed by the project with the technical support of GPM.

Assessment of Procurement Strategy

The strategy is to conduct an open international ITB through E-tendering system for 4 lots. Advertisements will be placed in the corporate websites and print media and are intended to be posted for 4-5 weeks. A mandatory site visit and a pre-bid meeting is scheduled. Each bidder can apply to one or more lots. Contract will be awarded to one tender per lot. Technical expertise will be available during the evaluation of bids.

Assessment of Procurement Process Planned

Evaluation will be conducted by subject matter experts including engineers:

3 UNDP Engineers, including the Project Manager;

A background check will be performed prior to issue a final recommendation for review and approval.

Assessment of Value for Money Measures Proposed

The award of the contract will be based on the lowest technically compliant and qualified offer per lot.

The VFM is expected to be achieved through the analysis of the selected bid in terms of unit prices with other qualified bids and the estimated budget.

Assessment of Quality Assurance and Contract Management Mechanism Proposed

Quality Assurance will be mainly ensured/monitored by two consultants.

UNDP through the project manager will carry out site visits to validate the works, in collaboration with UNDSS.

Bid Guarantee in the amount of USD 5,500 for each lot will be required.

Liquidated damages for every day of delay would apply for 0.125% with a maximum of 45 days of delay after which the UNDP can terminate the contract.

The warranty period of works would be 12 months upon acceptance of Works.

Assessment of Risk Mitigation Measures Proposed

Risk assessment is quite detailed and covers, technical, financial operational and political aspects.

Overall Quality Rating of Procurement comments

Needs Improvement

Details of Quality Rating

Assessment Criteria	Excellent	Good	Fair	Needs Improvement	Not Applicable
Procurement Process & Evaluation or Justification for Direct Contracting				Х	
Value for Money Measures				Х	
Quality Assurance & Risk Assessment				Х	
Overall Presentation & Organization of Attachments				Х	

Type of contract

Contract for Works

Committee's additional comments

- 1. The procurement strategy is acceptable in general. The scope of works and technical specifications are defined by GPM and validated by the Project engineer;
- 2. As requested by the RACP Chair, the CO has contacted the French speaker Regional Procurement Adviser, which feedback was incorporated in the ITB. In future occasions, the CO is requested to summarize the feedback provided by the Regional Procurement Adviser;
- 3. The solicitation document has been improved based on ACP comments and in particular:

(a) Lot award would be based on the combination more effective cost for UNDP;

(b) It was clarified how the bidders will be able to demonstrate the following criteria and how it will be objectively evaluated: "the established criteria of: "The proposed methodology demonstrates a good understanding of the work/Adequacy / consistency between the execution system, the timetable and the resources (list of equipment";

(c) Years of experience for key staff were reduced in view of the relative low complexity of the requirement in order to allow sufficient participation of bidder for the construction in a remote area;

- 4. The questions and responses are detailed in the Clarifications Section.
- **5**. The CO is also advised: a)to take the necessary security measures in coordination with the national authorities in order to mitigate any security risks that may affect the project and b) to take the necessary steps as soon as possible in order to extend the PRODOC a date beyond the estimated date of finalization of the contract so that to mitigate any funding and/or contractual risks.
- **6**. Before its launch, the ITB document needs to be quality checked and dates updated as necessary. The CO should also review reasonability and feasibility of delivery dates including site visit and pre-meeting, considering COVID-19 and any other constraints.
- 7. The CO is advised to pay particular attention to ensure that all clarifications from bidders during the pre-bid conference and during the bidding period are adequately responded to and if necessary, issue addendums to the ITB as required.

Committee's recommendation

Based on the information in the submission, clarifications provided and the above observations, the Regional Advisory Committee on Procurement recommends to the RCPO to approve the procurement strategy to conduct a competitive process for the procurement of civil works to engage 4 constructions firms for the construction of 100 houses in Jeremie, Haiti, with a contract duration of 5 months, in the estimated amount of USD 1,150,000.

Chairperson recommends to APPROVE

Chairperson's optional comments

Approver's Decision

Decision: Approved

Approver comments

Case Timeline Tracking ——

Case Timeline Tracking

Number of Number of days case was at requestor for clarifications or withholding days for committee review 9 20

Clarifications

No.		Answer(s)	Details
1	The PRODOC expires 31 December 2021. However, the estimated construction period per lot is five months and the contract is expected to start the week 5 of August 2021. This means that, provided that there is no delays, the estimated finalization of the contract is end of January 2021, which is beyond the expiration date of the PRODOC on 31 December 2021. Please explain how funding would be assured for the contract(s) in this context.	On ATLAS, once the purchase order (PO) has been validated for an activity, the funding will remain in the system until final payment. The PO will be validated during the validation of the final evaluation report for the choice of companies for the construction of housing. In addition, the project will require an extension from the lessor, starting this July 2021 to ensure follow-up until the end of the work.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:53:02 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:35:19
2	Please get also the advice of the French-speaker Regional Procurement Adviser for this ITB.	well noted. We will send the ITB for his opinion	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:53:14 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:37:48
3	Please explain concisely how the comments and recommendations of the previous construction cases from the CO submitted to the RACP and ACP in the last years have been incorporated in this ITB.	The comment and recommandation of the previous cases is Contract Management and RIskk Management Meteorological Risks: Application of related norms and principles defined in the Code National du Bâtiment d'Haïti (CNBH) to mitigate Storms and floodings riks that are linked to the topographical situation of the construction land. Delays due to social/political instability: Encourage the firm to store as much as possible of material/equipment and accommodate technical staff near the construction site. Pandemic COVID 19: Apply the same measures being applied in other works construction activities ongoing.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:53:22 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:40:21
4	Given the remoteness of the construction area, the RACP recommends a pro-active sourcing in order to find a sufficient amount of qualified potential bidders already present in the construction area. This can be done for instance through former UNDP contractors active in that rea having good contractor performance reports, internet search, yellow pages, chambers of commerce, asking governmental counter-parties, other UN agencies, such as UNOPS, etc.	We have several companies that are used to working for the office in Jeremie with very good performance evaluations, one of which is based in the city of Cayes. They will be invited to participate in the tender.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:53:30 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:41:44
5	In general, request of a Bid/Proposal security is advisable for all contracts exceeding USD 300,000, but this does not seem the case. Pease explain if in the past requiring bid security limited the competition in construction works and what could be the added value of requiring a bid security nowadays in Haiti.	We will make the necessary corrections The bid bond will be applied only for lot 4. The precision will be made in the ITB because they will be more than 300K	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:53:40 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:45:52
6	Please explain why you think that no company would be able to contract the 100 houses on time.	It is a way to minimize the risks (delay and work quality)	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:53:49

30	/06/202	1
50	00/202	

	2021		
			A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:47:12
		In case a firm is technically qualified for several lots, we will make the analysis by highlighting the gain of the organization, assigning the firm the most attractive lot for the Organization,	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:53:58 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:48:21
	who verified the designs and BOQs of	The plans and the bOQ are the results of a study carried out by the firm GPM and the verification was done by the engineer who is also the project manager	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:54:05 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:49:25
	please:	a. explain who and when the project estimate was done. A first cost estimate was made by the project team in	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:54:26 A: Magdala Dejoie on
	estimate was done	2019 b. whether it is still up to dated.	Date 09 Jun 2021 23:51:35
	c. The estimated shall be verified by an independent quantity surveyor or	Yes c. The estimated shall be verified by an independent	
	is reasonable in comparison to the	quantity surveyor or international engineer to ensure that is reasonable in comparison to the market. Kindly inform who verified the estimates.	
		The project team had recruited a study firm to complete the technical study on the basis of the preliminary project documents, this firm made a complete review of the preliminary technical documents of the project and in December 2020 all the cost estimates were been updated in consultation with the Housing and Public Building Construction Unit (UCLBP) which is a state structure.	
	could have an impact on the implementation of activities. This will be addressed with an environmental impact study and consideration of mitigation measures. Also a consultant has been recruited for the environmental study and a consultant has been recruited for a geophysical and geotechnical study and a survey of internally displaced persons from Lahaie has been carried out. Please share the main conclusions of these studies the risks detected and mitigations measures for these works as well as provide summary of the feasibility study.	the document being very heavy thank you to find a link allowing you to have access to it in the appendix 17 . In addition, the project team recruited an environment supervisor to control the execution of the works within the framework of the environmental impact study reports.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:56:01 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:56:12
	Please explain why the following criterion was mentioned in the case presentation: "Critère d'évaluation de la Proposition Financière Toutes offres financières <-20% du budget seront automatiquement éliminées."	This will be corrected.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:56:14 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:57:17
	civil engineering works. Please explain consider removing this as civil engineering works is not considered	All houses being identical, the structures and civil engineering work will be similar in all buildings (implanting, foundation, posts, beams, partition walls, roof), in septic tanks, sumps and the water towers. The only difference will be in the paint colors which will be distributed by district.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:56:52 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:57:56
13		well noted.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:57:08
	"Le soumissionnaire doit montrer la solidité actuelle de sa situation financière et indiquer sa rentabilité potentielle à long terme. - Ratio de liquidité : Actif à court terme / Passifs à court 1+ - Ratio d'endettement : Dette (ensemble passif) / Actif Total -0.8 (Pour la coentreprise, le consortium ou le partenariat, toutes les parties doivent respecter simultanément		A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:58:28

https://intranet.undp.org/acponline/SitePages/ACPExAnteCaseLevel3PrintView.aspx?cid=0000034886

/06/	2021	Case Information	
	cette exigence)." Please consider including a sentence saying that, if the bidder do not comply with the ratios, it must submit a letter from a bank dated June 2021 at the earliest offering a minimum credit facility of a relevant amount (e.g. US\$100,000) for the execution of these works.		
14	For criteria of page 29: "La méthodologie proposée démontre une bonne compréhension des travaux" "Adéquation/cohérence entre le système d'exécution, le chronogramme et les ressources (liste d'équipement" Please describe in the ITB how bidders can demonstrate this and explain how you would evaluate this objectively.	The technical offer of the bidders must contain a methodology for carrying out the work. This methodology must demonstrate the company's understanding of the technical quality of the structures, of the various steps to be followed for the installation and construction of each structure, the equipment and materials to be used and the labor required for each structure and at every step.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:57:2 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 09 Jun 2021 23:59:3
15	Please clearly in the ITB that bidders must specify clearly to which lot(s) they are bidding and where in their offers they must indicate it.	The remark is well noted and it will be done	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:57:3 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 10 Jun 2021 00:02:0
16	Please indicate clearly at Annexe 2 Bordereau de prix which that the prices are per house and that only at the end of the sheet they include the total price per lot.	Done as annex 19	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:57:4 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 10 Jun 2021 00:08:2
17	According to the Construction policy, the price comparison must include "transportation, insurance and the total cost of ownership (including spare parts, consumption, installation, commissioning,". Please indicate if this has been included in the Annexe 2 Bordereau de prix	Yes, the expression: "all subject matter or all constraints" includes transportation, insurance, and total cost of ownership (including spare parts, consumption, installation, commissioning please in annexe 18	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 04 Jun 2021 23:57:4 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 10 Jun 2021 00:04:2
18	As a follow-up of question 1: a. The document submitted as the PRODOC is a substantive revision. Please update the original PRODOC. b. The substantive revision provided extends the project until December 2020. Please upload a signed substantive revision that extends the project until December 2021.	Please see annexe 19 for original PRODOC and annexe 20 for amendmet	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:21:4 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 15:32:3
19	As a follow-up of question 2, please get advice of the RPA and summarize the main changes in the ITB and procurement strategy and why any of his advices would not be followed (if any).	We will apply all these advices	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:21:4 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 21:53:3
20	As a follow-up of question 3, please explain which has been the outcome of mitigation strategies in place to face of COVID-19 and/or possible	We ask the bidder to store as much material as possible in order to avoid any shortage on site. For the covid 19 we ask them to apply the barrier gestures, points of washing of the hands, requirement of the port of masks, social distancing,	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:21:5 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 21:57:5
21	As a follow-up of question 7, please include in the ITB a sentence saying that in case a bidder ends up being the lowest technically compliant for more than one lot, no more than one lot per bidder may be awarded and that the lots would be awarded on the most cost-effective combination for UNDP.	Done	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:22:0 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 21:59:2

30/06/2	2021	Case Information	
	in the environmental impact study would be mitigated besides having hired an environment supervisor to control the execution of the works within the framework of the environmental impact study reports.	The environmental impact study report proposes an Environmental and Social Management Plan to mitigate the identified risks. In order to ensure compliance with the recommendations, the project team carried out training, information sessions and focus groups with the town hall of Dame Marie, CASEC, ASEC, the village management association COGEVILA and the community. In addition, the project hired an environment supervisor to control the execution of the works within the framework of the environmental impact study report; the project requires bidders to have an environmental controller on their implementation team.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:22:11 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 22:00:36
23	As a follow-up of question 14, please explain further these criteria in the ITB so that the bidders can have an additional understanding of what they need to do in order to demonstrate compliance with these criteria.	It will be done in the ITB	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:22:18 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 22:02:49
24	Considering that Project will finish on Dec 21, and the retention of 10% for guaranteed purposes is for 12 months, please confirm that CO will be able to return the money to the project case needed, even with the project closed.	The 10% guarantee will be released after verification on the 12 to the bidder	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:22:26 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 22:07:43
	roads, etc.) will be provided by the Government.	There is already a motorable road leading to the village. Although it will not be improved, it does not constitute a risk for the project and a critical aspect of the project because it is a road frequently use by the community and it leads to other communal sections and other localities. At the site level, the servicing and road works carried out by the project facilitate access to the execution site. Utilities services will be provided by the COGEVILA village management association in close collaboration with the town hall of Dame Marie. COGEVILA is a management association created by the project to ensure the sustainability of the village together with the town hall.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:22:53 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 22:08:27
	Please confirm that the land is owned by the Haitian government and that land property does not constitute a risk at this stage.	please see legal documents as attachment 21	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:23:01 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 22:09:21
27	Please explain how the monetary guarantee was calculated.	Please see the last version of the ITB as annex 22	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:23:11 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 22:10:12
28	Section 5A – Technical Specifications Matrix: 10 years of experience are requested for the Civil Engineer, Project Director and 7 years of experience for the Resident Engineer. It is recommended to consider reducing the years of experience by 7 and 5 years respectively, due to the low complexity of works in order to attract more potentially qualified bidders.	Done , Please see the ITB New version	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:23:20 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 22:11:15
29	The CO indicates that the quality of works will be ensured or monitored by two (2) consultants and that visits will be made through the Project manager to validate the work, with the collaboration of UNDSS. Please submit the corresponding Term of Reference of the proposed consultants who will be acting as "Construction Supervisors".		Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:23:46 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 22:12:06
30	Please indicate if the CO or the Project make necessary arrangements with national authorities to obtain security support in the construction area.	The project team works in close collaboration with local authorities such as La Mairie de Dame Marie (town hall), CASEC and ASEC of the communal section of Baliverne, and community base associations (OCB) in Lahaie including the village management association COGEVILA. These local state structures are the	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 10 Jun 2021 23:23:55 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 21 Jun 2021 22:12:42

		guarantors of security in the construction area, as they receive support from the local police.	
31	As a follow-up of questions 2 and 19, please get advice of the RPA and summarize the main changes in the ITB and procurement strategy and why any of his advices would not be followed (if any) once his feedback has been obtained and incorporated in the ITB.	Please find the final version of the ITB as annexe 25 reviewed by the RPA French speaker (Cedric Duval) we have accepted all these comments except for the bid guarantee for lot 4.	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 25 Jun 2021 23:42:51 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 28 Jun 2021 21:04:59
32	As a follow-up of question 31, please summarize the main changes in the ITB and procurement strategy done following the advice of the RPA and explain why his advice for the bid guarantee for lot 4 has not been followed.	Since the lot exceeded the 300k required by the POPP and the RPA was not certain, we preferred to keep the guarantee request. For more informations please see as annexe 28 the ITB with the track changes	Q: Antonio Ortega on Date 28 Jun 2021 21:17:32 A: Magdala Dejoie on Date 28 Jun 2021 22:14:22